PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16. CHANDIGARH.





Shri Bittu S/o Shri Om Kumar, Village Bholapur, PO Sahibana, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.2937 of 2021

Versus

Present: None.

ORDER:

- This order may be read with reference to the order dated 05.10.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous date of hearing.
- 2. The case was scheduled to be heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Bittu, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex.
- 3. The PIO O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana has also not come present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO in person or through cisco webex nor he has deputed any of his representative to attend the hearing.
- 4. In the absence of the appellant as well as the PIO, the Bench is unable to proceed further in the matter and deems it appropriate to adjourn the matter.
- 5. In vie of the above, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 28.03.2022 at **11.30 AM through cisco webex**. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.01.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN. SECTOR 16. CHANDIGARH.





Shri Tarsem Lal. H. No.362, Phase-4, Mohali.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o GMADA, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA, Mohali.

....Respondents

AC No.2975 of 2021

Versus

Appellant- absent. Present: (i)

> Shri Umesh Sehgal, Senior Assistant GMADA, Mohali, (ii) on behalf of the PIO/respondent.

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex/on mobile phone)

- This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.10.2021 passed by this 1. Bench on the previous date of hearing.
- 2. The case has been heard today through cisco webex. Shri Tarsem Lal, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex.
- 3. Shri Umesh Sehgal, Senior Assistant, comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that the information on points No.1 to 5 and 7,9,10 has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2021 and that information on points No.6 & 8 is not available in the official records.
- The Appellant Shri Tarsem Lal is contacted on mobile phone. He states that the 4. information supplied is incomplete and he is not satisfied with the information supplied.
- 5. The representative of the PIO Shri Umesh Sehgal is directed to get in touch with the appellant on phone and supply complete information to the appellant before the next hearing.

Cont..P-2




AC No.2975/2021

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 28.03.2022 at 11.30 AM through cisco webex.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

-2-

Chandigarh 11.01.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN. SECTOR 16. CHANDIGARH.





Shri Rajesh Kumar, Chamber No.301, District Courts, Kaithal (Haryana).

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police. Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Inspector General of Police, Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar.

....Respondents

AC No.2999 of 2021

Present: Appellant- absent. (i)

> Shri Sardool Singh, ASI, RTI Cell, O/o SSP Roopnagar (ii) In person, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER:

(Heard in person/on mobile phone)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 05.10.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous date of hearing.
- 2. The case has been heard today through cisco webex. Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex.
- 3. ASI Sardool Singh, I/c RTI O/o SSP Roopnagar comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the appellant has been given reply vide letter dated 29.12.2020 and that in compliance with the orders of Hon'ble Bench, an affidavit dated 06.01.2022 has also been sent to the appellant and also produces a copy before the Bench.
- 4. Since the appellant is absent, he is contacted on phone. On the asking of the Bench, he states that he is not satisfied with the contents of affidavit and further states that it is not on the nonjudicial stamp paper and moreover, it only states about the destruction of record but on what authority the record was destroyed, there is no mention in the affidavit.



-2- AC No.2999/2021

In view of this, the affidavit dated 06.01.2022 is not accepted and the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2999/2021 has been supplied. Certain information was not made available as the relevant record has been destroyed on the basis of Govt. instructions issued vide letter number ___and dated_____. It be further stated that the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be supplied to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record before the next hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 28.03.2022 at 11.30 AM through cisco webex.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.01.2022

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.



Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Cisco Webex Meeting Code:15857-23975 Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Davinder Kumar, House No.1255, Sector-43B, Chandigarh.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Estate Officer, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Mohali.

.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o Chief Administrator, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Mohali.

....Respondents

AC No.2970 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri Davinder Kumar, Appellant, in person.

(ii) Shri Pawan Yadav, Senior Assistant, Accounts O/o GMADA, Mohali.

on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

ORDER:

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.10.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous date of hearing.
- 2. The case has been heard today through cisco webex. Shri Davinder Kumar, appellant attends the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that as per directions of the Bench, neither he has been called to office for inspection of records nor any action has been taken to refund him the amount of Rs.2000/-.
- 3. Shri Pawan Yadav, Senior Assistant, Accounts O/o GMADA, Mohali comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the amount of Rs.2000/- could not be refunded to the appellant as the account opened for Demand Survey by GMADA stands closed and new account is yet to be opened by GMADA.



-2-

AC No.2970/2021

- 4. The Bench observes that the reply of the representative of PIO is not convincing and PIO has not honoured the direction given by the Bench in spite of a lapse of three months from the previous order. This amounts to disobedience of the order passed by the Bench and this type of attitude of the PIO is viewed adversely.
- 5. The Bench directs the PIO supply information to the appellant as also to comply with the directions of Bench in its true sprit, before the next hearing.
- 6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. **To come up for hearing on 28.03.2022 at 11.30 AM through cisco webex.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 11.01.2022